

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

· W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	<b>√O</b> .	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,965		09/12/2003	David Christianson	E0615-00060 8986		
8933	7590	03/29/2005		EXAMINER		
	MORRIS	, LLP	RICHTER, JOHANN R			
	IP DEPARTMENT ONE LIBERTY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396				1621	1621	
				DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	10/661,965	David Christianson				
House of Abandonment	Examiner '	Art Unit				
	Johann R. Richter, Ph.D., Esq.	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	•			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper repl	ly, to the non-			
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.						
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-89).</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ol>	35). s received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tra	ansmission dated			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no						
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	eattorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire in	nterest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review			
7. The reason(s) below:	sricht					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw	aw the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to			